

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,203	10/18/2001	Gerd Breiter	DE920010053US1	7195
7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
William Kinnaman, Jr.			GELAGAY, SHEWAYE	
IBM Corporation Intellectual Property Law Department 2455 South Road, M/S P386 Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/982,203	BREITER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shewaye Gelagay	2137		

	Shewaye Gelagay	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed amondme	nt conceling the		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. 🔲 Other:		Q M.			
The same					
,	SUPE	EMMANUEE L. MOIS RVISORY PATENT EXA	E Aminer		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 09/982,203** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended independent claims 1, 11, 18, 26, 28 and 30 includes amended language not previously considered in prior office action. In addition, the Examiner would like to point out all the amended language in the claims needs to be underlined.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amended independent claims 1, 11, 18, 26, 28 and 30 includes amended language not previously considered in prior office action.